

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,161	09/27/2001	Robert C. Knauerhase	10559-495001	10559-495001 4084 EXAMINER	
20985 75	90 07/12/2005		EXAM		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			HARPER,	HARPER, KEVIN C	
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2666		
		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/966,161	KNAUERHASE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin C. Harper	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/27/6	<u>01</u> .	•				
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Amarkar and A						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] (=4===)	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2666

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 208, 210 and 212. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Balog et al. (US 2002/0022453).

Art Unit: 2666

2. Balog discloses an apparatus (fig. 7, item 52 or 54) comprising an inherent antenna, a first and second network interfaces to format data (para. 30, line 6 and the last five lines) and an inherent multiplexing device to switch a connection to the antenna between the interfaces and an inherent controller to control the multiplexing device in response to detecting data to be communicated (para. 41, lines 1-10 and the last three lines).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-10 and 12-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balog et al. (US 2002/0022453) in view of Krishnamurthy (US 6,735,448).

3. Regarding claims 1-3, 12 and 18-20, Balog discloses a method comprising communicating with a first network (fig. 7, items 54 and/or 56) via a first wireless communication link (note: links

between devices 52-56) in a first communication mode, receiving data from the first network intended for a second network (item 22 or 52), switching to a second communication mode and transmitting data to the second network via a second wireless communication link in a second communication mode (note: links between devices 22 and 52-54). Further regarding claims 3, 12, 20, the communication is bidirectional (para. 41, last three lines). Further regarding claims 18-20, the method is inherently implemented by instructions stored on a machine-readable medium (para. 6, lines 14-17; para. 30, lines 4-8; para. 40, lines 1-10).

- 4. However, Balog does not explicitly disclose storing the data. Krishnamurthy discloses storing data for transmission in an ad hoc network (col. 4, line 61 though col. 5, line 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to store packets in the invention of Balog in order to provide a robust data transmission scheme (Krishnamurthy, col. 5, lines 2-6).
- 5. Regarding claims 5, 7, 13, 22 and 24, in Balog the networks are IP-based networks (fig. 1, item 18 and fig. 3; note: IP for user device).
- 6. Regarding claim 4, 6, 8-10, 14-17, 21, 23 and 25-27, in Balog communication is switched from a first network interface to a second network interface (para. 30, line 6; note: network interfaces; para. 30, last five lines), where the first and second interface or network is based on 802.11 (fig. 7). The second interface or network is based on GPRS (item 22).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,161 Page 5

Art Unit: 2666

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

July 11, 2005